

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/787,560	06/04/2001	Christopher M. Dobson	720797.90019	3009
7	590 10/01/2002			
Carl R Schwartz			EXAMINER	
Quarles & Brady			WEGERT, SANDRA L	
Suite 2040				
411 East Wisconsin Avenue Milwaukee, WI 53202-4497			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Milwadkoo, Wi 33202 1137			1647	
			DATE MAILED: 10/01/2002	Ç

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicant(a)			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/787,560	DOBSON, CHRISTOPHER M.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner Sandra wegert Elizabeth C. Kerhmerer, Ph.D.	Art Unit 1646			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the c ver sheet with the correspondence address					
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04 J</u>	lune 2 <u>001</u> .				
, <del></del> .	is action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	ance except for formal matters, p	rosecution as to the merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-32</u> is/are pending in the application	/ - /				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		<u> </u>			
6)☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		•			
8) Claim(s) 1-32 are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Application Papers	ar				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.					
Attachment(s)					
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)</li> </ol>	5) Notice of Informa	ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Il Patent Application (PTO-152)			
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office					



Application/Control Number: 09/787,560

Art Unit: 1646

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-16, 27 and 29, drawn to amyloid fibrils.

Group II, claim(s) 17-26, drawn to methods of making amyloid fibrils.

Group III, claim(s) 28, drawn to method of using a fibril to make plastics, electronics or in catalysis.

Group IV, claim(s) 30-32, drawn to therapeutic methods of administering fibrils.

The inventions listed as Groups I-IV do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: The PCT rules define a special technical feature as a feature which defines a contribution over the prior art. The first claimed invention is an amyloid fibril substantially free of other protein. Such are well known in the prior art. For example, US 4666829 discloses an isolated amyloid fibril. Therefore, since the first claimed invention does not have a special technical feature, it cannot share a special technical feature with the other claimed inventions. Furthermore, search and consideration of the methods of Groups II and III would present an undue search burden on the examiner, since the method steps themselves would have to be searched.

**FURTHERMORE,** This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention

Application/Control Number: 09/787,560

Art Unit: 1646

because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

- a)  $A\beta$  peptide associate with Alzheimer's disease;
- b) prion protein associated with transmissible spongiform encephalopathies;
- c) islet-associated polypeptide associates with type II diabetes;
- d) transthyretin and fragments thereof associated with familial amyloidotic polyneuropathy; and
  - e) a specific protein associated with a specific amyloidosis.

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

The following claim(s) are generic: claim 1 is exemplary.

The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: each peptide or protein listed above

Application/Control Number: 09/787,560

Art Unit: 1646

Page 4

makes its own contribution to the prior art. Therefore, they cannot share a special technical feature.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sandra Wegert, Ph.D. whose telephone number is (703) 308-9346.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Kunz, Ph.D. can be reached on (703) 308-4623. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9306 for regular communications and (703) 872-9307 for After Final communications.

ECK September 30, 2002 ELIZABETH KEMMERER PRIMARY EXAMINER